

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,772	07/07/2000	Hans Kroner	GR 99 P 2263	7415
75	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.			TRAN, TUAN A	
P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2682	19
		DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. Advisory Action	09/611,772	KRONER, HANS	
· Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan A Tran	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	'ess
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:			
	14-0		

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation "the interference situation at a location of the subscriber station comprises at least one of intracell interference and intercell interference" has never been claimed before; therefore it is considered new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.